International Civil Aviation Organization

Fourth Meeting of the Asia Pacific Regional Aviation Safety Team (APRAST/4) (Manila, the Philippines 22 - 25 April 2014)

Agenda Item 5: Presentation – State / Industry / ICAO

UPDATE ON THE STATE'S RESPONSE TO ICAO STATE LETTER

(Presented by Secretariat)

SUMMARY

This working paper provides an overview of the level of response to surveys conducted by the Secretariat in support of RASG/APRAST activities.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Regional Aviation Safety Group Asia and Pacific Regions (RASG-APAC) was established following the ICAO Council Decision in May 2010 supported by the 47th Conference of the Directors General of Civil Aviation, Asia Pacific Regions in October 2010. APRAST the working arm of the RASG APAC is mainly responsible for the development and introduction of safety enhancement measures aimed at enhancing aviation safety in the APAC Region.
- 1.2 At times there is a need for APRAST sub-groups responsible for the development of safety enhancement measures to gather information related to the subject matter. To this end the secretariat issues State Letters requesting APAC States to compile and forward the information sought by the respective APRAST sub-group.
- 1.3 In most cases the States do not respond to these State Letters in a timely manner. The success of the RASG/APRAST initiatives is dependent upon receiving a timely response from all stakeholders.

2. DISCUSSION

- 2.1 At APRAST/3 Meeting (Conclusion 3/14) in May 2013, the Secretariat was tasked to monitor the level of response to ICAO State Letters related to APRAST activities.
- 2.2 This WP is presented to update the meeting on the level of response to ICAO State Letters related to RASG/APRAST initiatives (See **Attachment A**).

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

- 3.1 The Meeting is invited to:
 - (a) Note the current level of response to the ICAO State Letters; and
 - (b) Encourage all stakeholders to respond to ICAO State Letters in a timely and accurate manner.

ICAO State Letter response summary

	Description of Activity	Nomination of Point-of-	Survey on Assistance and	Acceptance of the AIG's	APRAST-AIG AWG/1	Use of SOP to reduce LOC	Promote compliance on	Timely and accurate	SSP Gap Analysis Survey
	Description of Activity	Contact (POC) [SL T 6/13.11 - AP 034/13 (FS)] By 19 Apr 2013.	Training Needs in the Setting Up of an Independent Accident Investigation System [SL T 10/8.3-AP031/13 (FS)] By 31 Mar 2013	Code of Conduct on mutual cooperation in accident investigation [SL T 6/13.9-AP 012/13	Conclusion Survey [Ref. T 6/13.11.2 – AP086/12 (FS) & Ref. T 6/13.11.2 – AP-FS 0002/13/12] By 18 Feb. 2013	in-flight (SEI/LOC 1) [SL T6/13.11- AP006/13(FS)] By 15 Feb 2013	refinite compliance on equipage of GPWS with FLF within THE APAC REGION (SEI/CFIT 1) [SL T 6/13.11.2 – AP- 0157/12 (FS)] By 14 Dec 2012		[SL T 6/13.11.1 – AP142/11 (FS)] By 20 Jan 2012
	State Response, (if Applicable)								
1	Afghanistan						Responded		
2	Australia	Responded		Responded	Responded		Responded	Responded	Responded - written explanation instead of survey form
3	Bangladesh	Responded	Responded	Responded	Responded			Responded	
4	Bhutan	Responded (23 May 2013)							
5	Brunei Darussalam				Responded				
6	Cambodia								
7	China	Responded - still under discussing as per e-mail dated 16 April 2013		Responded					Responded
	Hong Kong, China	Responded	Responded	Responded	Responded	Responded	Responded	Responded	Responded
	Macao, China	Responded	Responded	Responded	Responded	Responded	Responded		Responded
8	Cook Islands								
9	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	Responded (3 Nov. 2013)	Responded		Responded			Responded	
10	Fiji					Responded			Responded
11	India							Responded	
12	Indonesia			Responded					
13	Japan	Responded	Responded	Responded - comments	Responded	Responded	Responded	Responded	Responded
14	Kiribati	Responded							
15	Lao People's Democratic Republic			Responded	Responded				
16	Malaysia				Responded				
17	Maldives	Responded		Responded				Responded	
18	Marshall Islands								
19	Micronesia (Federated States of)								
	Mongolia	Responded		Responded					
21	Myanmar			Responded	Responded			Responded	Responded
22	Nauru								
23	Nepal	Responded							Responded
24	New Zealand		<u> </u>	Responded	Responded		Responded		Responded
25	Pakistan	Responded	Responded						
26	Palau	Responded	B				D I. I		D I. I
27	Papua New Guinea	Responded	Responded	Danasadad	Decreaded		Responded		Responded
28	Philippines	Responded	Danasadad	Responded	Responded	Deservated			
29	Republic of Korea	Responded (21 May 2013)	Responded	Responded	Decreaded	Responded			
30	Samoa	Responded	Responded	Passandad	Responded 5 Jul 12	28-Jan-13	Passandad	19-Jul-12	Paspandad
31	Singapore	Responded	Responded	Responded	5-Jul-12	20-Jan-13	Responded	19-Jul-12	Responded
32	Solomon Islands	Responded (3 Feb. 2014)		Responded					
33	Sri Lanka	nesponded (3 Feb. 2014)		nesponded					

	Description of Activity	Nomination of Point-of- Contact (POC) [SL T 6/13.11 - AP 034/13 (FS)] By 19 Apr 2013.	Survey on Assistance and Training Needs in the Setting Up of an Independent Accident Investigation System [SL T 10/8.3-AP031/13 (FS)] By 31 Mar 2013	Acceptance of the AIG's Code of Conduct on mutual cooperation in accident investigation [SL T 6/13.9-AP 012/13 (FS)] By 28 Feb 2013 and [SL T 6/13.9- AP109/13 (FS)] by 30 Aug	[Ref. T 6/13.11.2 – AP086/12 (FS) & Ref. T 6/13.11.2 – AP-FS 0002/13/12] By 18 Feb. 2013	Use of SOP to reduce LOC in-flight (SEI/LOC 1) [SL T6/13.11- AP006/13(FS)] By 15 Feb 2013	Promote compliance on equipage of GPWS with FLF within THE APAC REGION (SEI/CFIT 1) [SL T 6/13.11.2 – AP- 0157/12 (FS)] By 14 Dec 2012		SSP Gap Analysis Survey [SL T 6/13.11.1 – AP142/11 (FS)] By 20 Jan 2012
34	Thailand	Responded (4 April 2013)	Responded	Preparing response Responded on 14 June 2013 - no objection	Responded		Responded		Responded
35	Timor-Leste								
36	Tonga								
	Vanuatu	Responded							
38	Viet Nam								
	France			Responded					
	French Polynesia	Responded							
	New Caledonia				Responded		Responded		
		24	11	18	16	6	10	0 9	12

ICAO State Letter response summary - 2014

		Standard Operating Procedures to reduce the risk of Loss of	Survey on the use of Standard Operating Procedures to reduce the risk of Loss of Control in-flight (for Regulators) [Ref.:T 6/13.11.4 -AP164/13 (FS)] By 15 Jan 2014	Providing Information for the Databased of APAC Accident/Incident Investigation Bodies [Ref. T 10/5.3 - AP141/13 (FS)] by 31 December 2013		
	State Response, (if Applicable)					
1	Afghanistan					
2	Australia	Responded	Responded	Responded		
3	Bangladesh	·	·	'		
4	Bhutan					
5	Brunei Darussalam					
6	Cambodia					
7	China					
8	Hong Kong, China	Responded	Responded	Responded		
9	Macao, China	Responded	·	Responded		
10	Cook Islands					
11	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	Responded	Responded			
12	Fiji					
13	India					
14	Indonesia					
15		Responded	Responded	Responded		
16	Kiribati		'	'		
17	Lao People's Democratic Republic					
18		Responded	Responded			
	Maldives	Responded	Responded	Responded		
	Marshall Islands	·	'	'		
21	Micronesia (Federated States of)					
22	Mongolia					
23	Myanmar	Responded	Responded	Responded		
24	Nauru	•	,	,		
25	Nepal					
26	New Zealand					

	Description of Activity	Standard Operating Procedures to reduce the risk of Loss of Control in-flight (For Air Operators) [Ref.:T 6/13.11.4 - AP164/13 (FS)]	Standard Operating Procedures to	Providing Information for the Databased of APAC Accident/Incident Investigation Bodies [Ref. T 10/5.3 - AP141/13 (FS)] by 31 December 2013		
27	Pakistan		Responded			
28	Palau					
29	Papua New Guinea	Responded	Responded			
	Philippines			Responded		
	Republic of Korea					
	Samoa					
	Singapore	Responded	Responded	Responded		
	Solomon Islands					
	Sri Lanka			Responded		
36	Thailand	Responded	Responded	Responded		
37	Timor-Leste					
38	Tonga					
39	Vanuatu					
40	Viet Nam					
	France			Responded		
	French Polynesia					
	New Caledonia					
		11	11	11	 	0